

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,876	08/26/2003		Donald B. Richardson JR.	XP-1052	7808	
21013	7590	05/24/2004		EXAMINER		
AGFA CO			EVANISKO, LESLIE J			
LAW & PA 200 BALLA		EPARTMENT E STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WILMING			2854			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Ale_				
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	. V				
		10/649,87	6	RICHARDSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Leslie J. E		2854					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu riod will apply and wil atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tory minimum of thirty (30) d. I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. im the mailing date of this communication IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	6 August 2003.							
2a)□	•	This action is no							
3)	_ ·								
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte</i> Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>21-24</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,10 and 14-18</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6-8,11-13,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	tion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/a	ire: a)⊠ acce <mark>j</mark>	oted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).					
) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have bee	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have bee	n received in Applica	ation No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority docume	nts have been recei	ved in this National Stage					
	application from the International But	reau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).						
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	ied copies not recei	ved.					
Attachme			4) Interview Summa	APV (DTO 413)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2003-08-26</u> .		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)					
rap	51 140(5)(1910) Date <u>2000-00-20</u> ,		-/						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 13, line 11, the term "rollers **312**, **314**" should be --rollers **314**, **316**-- since that is how the rollers were previously defined in line 8 of page 13 and shown in the Figures.

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-8, 10-13, 15-20, and 22-24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

With respect to each of the dependent claims, it is suggested that the term "A" in line 1 of each claim be deleted and replaced with --The--, since the substrate manager, plate inverter, method, and plate handler have been previously recited by the respective independent claims.

Also, with respect to claim 6, line 1, it is suggested that the term --the-be inserted after "wherein" to provide less awkward claim language. Note that a similar change should be made in line 1 of claim 8.

With respect to claim 10, this claim is improper in that it fails to properly limit the parent claim since it is merely recite a functional intended use of the inverter and fails to recite any additional structure. Furthermore, it is noted

Art Unit: 2854

that the plate is not part of the claimed combination and therefore, whether it has an emulsion side facing upwards or downwards is of no patentable significance.

Additionally, with respect to claim 23, the claim lacks a period at the end of the sentence.

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 9-10, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ono (US 6,718,875). Ono teaches a substrate manager and method for managing substrates comprising a substrate storage system **15** with a stack of substrates **12**, a substrate picker **82**, **92** for picking substrates

Art Unit: 2854

from the stack, a substrate inverter system **42**, and a substrate transfer system **18** for providing the substrates to an imaging engine **16**, **37**. See Figures 1 and 9 in particular.

With respect to claims 2 and 15, note Ono teaches multiple cassettes **38** for holding stacks of substrates **12** in column 6, lines 47-53.

With respect to claims 3 and 16, note Ono teaches the picker includes a peeler **82**, **92** for separating a substrate from the stack of substrates.

With respect to claims 4 and 17, note the apparatus of Ono includes a sheet separator **46** for ensuring that a sheet **44** separating the substrates **12** can be separated from the substrate that is being picked up by the picker. See Figures 7A-7B and column 9, line 45-column 11, line 8.

With respect to claims 5, 9 and 18, note the inverter system includes an arcuate transfer path over which the substrates are carried to invert and transfer the substrates between the storage system and transfer system, as shown in Figures 1 and 9 in particular.

With respect to claim 10, see column 6, lines 58-63 of Ono in particular.

5. Claims 1-5, 9-10, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Otsuji (US 6,341,932). Otsuji teaches a substrate manager and method for managing substrates comprising a substrate storage system 2 with a stack of substrates P, a substrate picker 67, 69, 71 for picking substrates from the stack, a substrate inverter system 66, 65, 63, and a substrate transfer

Art Unit: 2854

system 3, 11, 14 for providing the substrates to an imaging engine 12. See Figures 2 and 8-10 in particular.

With respect to claims 2 and 15, note Otsuji teaches multiple cassettes 7 for holding stacks of substrates in column 4, lines 23-26.

With respect to claims 3 and 16, note Otsuji teaches the picker includes a peeler for separating a substrate from the stack of substrates.

With respect to claims 4 and 17, note the apparatus of Otsuji includes a sheet separator 77, 76 for ensuring that a sheet separating the substrates can be separated from the substrate that is being picked up by the picker. See Figures 8-10 and column 6, lines 55-67.

With respect to claims 5, 9 and 18, note the inverter system includes an arcuate transfer path over which the substrates are carried to invert and transfer the substrates between the storage system and transfer system, as shown in Figures 8-10 in particular.

With respect to claim 10, note that, in the claims as currently written, the plate is not part of the claimed combination and therefore claim 10 fails to properly limit the parent claim. Therefore, since Otsuji teaches all of the structure as recited, it is broadly capable of conveying any plate, such as one that would result in the plate being converted from an emulsion side down orientation to an emulsion side up orientation as recited, and therefore meets the claim language.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 21-24 are allowed. Note the claim objections with respect to claims 22-24 noted above must also be corrected to the satisfaction of the Examiner.
- 7. Claims 6-8, 11-13, and 19-20 are objected to for the reasons set forth above as well as for being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the above objections to the satisfaction of the Examiner and in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 6, 11, and 19, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a substrate manager, plate inverter, or method having all of the structure or method steps as recited, in combination with and particularly including, the substrate inverter system having a leading arm and lagging arm for carrying the substrates over the arcuate transfer path between the substrate storage system and substrate transfer system.

With respect to claim 8, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a substrate manager having all of the structure as recited, in combination with and particularly including, the substrate inverter system having at least one arm that includes a first roller and a second roller for both holding substrates and moving substrates relative to the at least one arm.

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 21, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a plate handler having all of the structure as recited, in combination with and particularly including, an arm having a first and second nip roller for closing on a plate and pulling the plate through an arcuate path and the arm rotating to drive the plate between the first and second rollers.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okoda et al. (US 5,560,596), Kushima et al. (US 5,201,506), Fukui (US 6,418,849), and Takeda (US 2004/0065221) each teach plate or sheet inverting mechanisms having obvious similarities to the claimed subject matter.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Leslie J. Evanisko** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2161**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2854

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie J. Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

lje May 14, 2004